UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,561	04/08/2004	Tong Zhang		8664
Tong Zhang	7590 07/15/2009		EXAMINER	
C/O Ying Yin 324 E. Park Cre	valta Lina		RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
·	TTY, UT 84115		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/820,561	ZHANG, TONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Se</u>	entember 2008					
·=	<i>,</i> —					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-10,13 and 15</i> is/are pending in the a	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11,12 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>		(4) (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
·— <u> </u>	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach manut/a)						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument on page 2 pertaining to same rejection was presented on 11-06-2000 and mailed on 11-09-2000.

After reviewing the paper file of application 08/861,247, no such rejection has been made where the Patent 3,582,815 to Larry D. Siebert has been cited.

Regarding applicant's arguments on page 2 pertaining to the recited limitation of "said gain region within a narrow area".

The recited terms of narrow area is considered broad language and it is not limited to a slice shape, thin gain medium or disk shape as implied by applicant.

Furthermore, claim 10 does not recite a thin gain region, a slice shape nor a disk shape and a narrow area does not exclude a line shape gain region, as applicant has characterize the gain medium of the Siebert reference. Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 2111 Claim Interpretation.

Regarding applicant's arguments on page 3.

Applicant has argued limitations which are not recited within the claim language of claim 10, thereby the arguments are irrelevant.

Regarding applicant's arguments pertaining to notes 1-4.

Applicant's arguments do not discuss the cited prior art rejection of claim 10, thereby the arguments are irrelevant.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,561 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed September 29, 2008 proposes amendments to claims 10-15 that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173, which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.

In particular claims which have been deleted, it appears that claims 11, 12, 14 are deleted.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2828

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 13.

It is not clear which claim it depends from, since claims 11, 12 and 14 appear to have been deleted and claims 10 and 15 do not recite polarization.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siebert (PN 3,582,815).

Figure 2 illustrates a solid state laser including two mirrors (10), (18), a solid state laser medium (12), the illustrates a gain region (shaded), a wavelength selective etalon (24), the figure illustrates the gain region in a narrow region and adjacent to mirror (10). Column 2 lines 10-14 discloses the single mode operation.

Siebert discloses the use of flash lamp as a pump source, but is silent as to the pump source being laser diode bars.

However, the use of laser diode bars as pump sources for solid state lasers is notoriously well known in the art due to its cost effectiveness, Spectra Diode Labs provide such commonly used laser diode bars.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

None of the searched prior arts alone or in combination discloses the claimed method limitations of independent claim 1, having the combined recited steps for forming a laser cavity to obtain stable single mode operation.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828 Application/Control Number: 10/820,561

Page 7

Art Unit: 2828